17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8		
9		
10	JOSE AMAYA AND MARIA ELENA No. C 10-01926 WHA	
11	MONTANO	
12	Plaintiffs, ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE	
13	v.	
14	GMAC MORTGAGE, LLC, F/K/A GMAC MORTGAGE CORPORATION,	
15	Defendant.	
16	/	

Plaintiffs, proceeding pro se, have filed claims relating to a mortgage loan that they obtained. Defendant GMAC filed a motion to dismiss scheduled to be heard on June 24, 2010. Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-3, any brief in opposition to the motion from plaintiffs was due on June 3, 2010, but no such opposition has been received. Plaintiffs are **Ordered** to respond by JUNE 21, 2010, AT NOON, and show cause for their failure to respond to the motion in accordance with Civil Local Rule 7-3(a) or alternately to file a statement of nonopposition to the motion as required by Civil Local Rule 7-3(b). This order to show cause does not constitute permission to file a late opposition. The hearing scheduled for June 24, 2010, is VACATED. A

to the

new hearing shall be noticed by the	Court if necessary. Plaintiffs' failure to respond to
order to show cause will likely result	t in dismissal.
IT IS SO ORDERED.	
	Win Alma
Dated: June 7, 2010.	WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE